REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMMENT ON SUPPLEMENTAL PROPOSAL FROM ATTORNEYS GENERAL OF NEW YORK, NEW JERSEY, CALIFORNIA, CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, ILLINOIS, IOWA, MAINE, MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS, MINNESOTA, OREGON, WASHINGTON, AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AND THE ATTORNEYS OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON AND THE CITIES OF CHICAGO, LOS ANGELES, NEW YORK, OAKLAND, PHILADELPHIA AND SAN FRANCISCO

March 19, 2020

By Electronic Submission to <u>www.regulations.gov</u>

Andrew R. Wheeler, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Mail Code: 1101A Washington, DC 20460

Re: Request for Extension of Comment Period for EPA Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Limit Use of Scientific Evidence in Rulemakings, 85 Fed. Reg. 15,396 (March 18, 2020) Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2018-0259.

Dear Administrator Wheeler:

The undersigned Attorneys General and City and County officials are very concerned by the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) above-referenced March 18, 2020, supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking ("supplemental proposal"), which proposes changes to EPA's April 2018 proposed rule to limit the use of scientific evidence in agency rulemakings. The supplemental proposal, like the proposed rule, would limit the body of scientific evidence that EPA can consider when adopting regulatory standards to protect public health and the environment, and additionally proposes to extend these limitations to a newly defined category of "influential scientific information." Many of the undersigned filed detailed and comprehensive comments on August 18, 2018 opposing the proposed rule, and nothing in the supplemental proposal addresses our concerns. At a minimum, given the significant new elements of the supplemental proposal in the context of a proposed rule of such consequence, as well as the significant disruption facing our nation due to the COVID-19 pandemic, EPA must extend the comment period to at least 120-days to permit adequate time for the public to consider the supplemental proposal and provide feedback on it.

While we support the use of best available science and transparency in agency decisionmaking, we are unable to discern how the proposed rule and/or the supplemental proposal will further those goals. Accordingly, we again request that you withdraw the proposed rule, and likewise request that you withdraw the supplemental proposal. EPA should first consult with the National Academy of Sciences and other independent scientists and science organizations in a meaningful manner before deciding whether any changes to EPA's current use of scientific evidence are in order. We are aware that the National Academy offered to provide EPA with its objective, expert guidance on this complex undertaking, but there is no evidence that EPA has taken advantage of that offer.

If you are unwilling to withdraw the proposed rule and the supplemental proposal, we ask that you significantly extend the supplemental proposal's 30-day comment period. Given its extremely broad impact, 30 days is woefully insufficient to give the public adequate opportunity to participate in the rulemaking through submission of feedback to EPA, as required by the Administrative Procedure Act. 5 U.S.C. § 553(c). We note, preliminarily, that Executive Order 13,563, section 2(b), provides that "each agency *shall* afford the public a meaningful opportunity to comment ... on any proposed regulation, with a comment period that should generally be at least 60 days" (emphasis added.)¹ However, given the scope of the supplemental proposal, and the profound effect any final rule would have on the regulatory process for all or nearly all of the statutes EPA implements and enforces, we believe that a comment period of no less than 120 days is necessary. Moreover, EPA has not provided any justification for deviating from the 60-day standard.

While the supplemental proposal solicits comment on only the changes and additions to the 2018 proposed rule, these proposed changes and additions are sweeping and significant, and will require substantial evaluation by the undersigned and other interested parties. First, under the supplemental proposal, the proposed rule would apply to all data and models, not just doseresponse data and dose-response models, and further, would not just apply to regulatory decision-making, but now also to "influential scientific information." Second, EPA is now proposing definitions for new key terms that could significantly impact how the rule would work in practice, as well as deleting or revising definitions provided in the initial proposal. Third, EPA is proposing to consider two, new "alternate options" for how it will prioritize and give weight to scientific studies and information, and is also proposing to change the criteria under which the Administrator may discretionarily exempt scientific studies or information from the rule on a case-by-case basis. Finally, EPA is now requesting comment on the "option" of using the Federal Housekeeping Statute (5 U.S.C. §301) as the sole statutory authority for the proposed rule or, alternatively, relying on it in conjunction with the substantive statutes identified in the 2018 proposed rule as authority for EPA's action. Accordingly, the comment period should, at a minimum, be commensurate with the 115-day comment period allowed for the initial proposal.²

In light of these major proposed changes and additions to the 2018 proposed rule, we request that if EPA declines to withdraw the proposed rule and supplemental proposal, the agency extend the comment period on the supplemental proposal to 120 days, until July 16, 2020, in order to give the public (including the scientific community) a meaningful opportunity to comment. This extension would allow time for EPA to consult with the National Academy of Sciences and other independent scientists and science organizations regarding the supplemental

¹ See also Executive Order 12,866, section 6(a)(1), which provides that, "[E]ach agency should afford the public a meaningful opportunity to comment on any proposed regulation, which in most cases should include a comment period of not less than 60 days."

² EPA first announced a 30-day comment period for the initial proposal but, in response to requests from multiple parties, including a request from many members of this group, agreed to a reasonable extension. 83 Fed. Reg. 24,255 (May 25, 2018).

proposal, and allow time for a public hearing on this supplemental proposal. A 120-day comment period would be consistent with past practice for matters of similar importance and complexity, and is necessary to provide the public and other stakeholders adequate time to evaluate the supplemental proposal and its implications for the agency's ability to meet its obligation to protect public health and the environment under federal environmental laws. Finally, a significant extension or, alternatively, a suspension of the rulemaking process is necessary in light of the national emergency that has arisen from the COVID-19 pandemic. States, healthcare professionals, and scientists who should weigh in on the supplemental proposal will not be able to devote the time necessary to fully evaluate the supplemental proposal and its implications during this evolving crisis.

We appreciate your consideration of this urgent matter and await your decision regarding our request.

FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK LETITIA JAMES ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Gavin G. McCabe

Gavin G. McCabe Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Bureau Office of the Attorney General 28 Liberty Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10005 (212) 416-8469 gavin.mccabe@ag.ny.gov

FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

XAVIER BECERRA ATTORNEY GENERAL David A. Zonana Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General Sarah Morrison Supervising Deputy Attorney General

<u>/s/ Meredith J. Hankins</u> MEREDITH J. HANKINS JAMES POTTER Deputy Attorneys General Office of the Attorney General 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 (213) 269-6177 meredith.hankins@doj.ca.gov

FOR THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY

GURBIR S. GREWAL Attorney General

/s/ Daren Eppley

DAREN EPPLEY Deputy Attorney General New Jersey Division of Law 25 Market Street Trenton, New Jersey 08625 Tel: (609) 376-2739 Email: <u>Daren.Eppley@law.njoag.gov</u>

FOR THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT WILLIAM TONG ATTORNEY GENERAL

ATTOKNET GENERA

/s/ Jill Lacedonia

Jill Lacedonia Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 165 Capitol Avenue Hartford, CT 06106 (860) 808-5250 jill.lacedonia@ct.gov

FOR THE STATE OF DELAWARE

KATHLEEN JENNINGS ATTORNEY GENERAL

<u>/s/Valerie S. Edge</u> Valerie Satterfield Edge Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice 102 W. Water Street Dover, DE 19904 (302) 257-3219 valerie.edge@delaware.gov

FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

KWAME RAOUL ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Daniel I. Rottenberg

Matthew J. Dunn Chief, Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division Daniel I. Rottenberg Assistant Attorney General 69 W. Washington St., 18th Floor Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 814-3816 drottenberg@atg.state.il.us

FOR THE STATE OF MAINE

Aaron M. Frey Attorney General

<u>/s/ Laura E. Jensen</u> Laura E. Jensen Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 6 State House Station, Augusta, ME 04333 207.626.8868

FOR THE STATE OF IOWA

TOM MILLER ATTORNEY GENERAL

<u>/s/ Jacob J. Larson</u> Jacob J. Larson Assistant Attorney General Environmental Law Division Hoover State Office Building 1305 E. Walnut St., 2nd Floor Des Moines, IA 50319 (515) 281-5341 jacob.larson@ag.iowa.gov

FOR THE STATE OF MARYLAND BRIAN E. FROSH ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Joshua M. Segal

Joshua M. Segal Special Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202 (410) 576-6446 jsegal@oag.state.md.us

FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

MAURA HEALY ATTORNEY GENERAL Christophe Courchesne Assistant Attorney General and Chief

<u>/s/ Turner Smith</u> Turner Smith Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Environmental Protection Division One Ashburton Place, 18th Floor Boston, MA 02108 (617) 727-2200

turner.smith@mass.gov

4

FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

KEITH ELLISON ATTORNEY GENERAL

<u>/s/ Leigh K. Currie</u> Leigh K. Currie Special Assistant Attorney General Minnesota Attorney General's Office 445 Minnesota Street Suite 900 Saint Paul, MN 55101 (651) 757-1291 <u>leigh.currie@ag.state.mn.us</u>

FOR THE STATE OF OREGON ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM ATTORNEY GENERAL

<u>/s/ Paul Garrahan</u> Paul Garrahan Attorney-in-Charge Steve Novick Special Assistant Attorney General Natural Resources Section Oregon Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 947-4593 Paul.Garrahan@doj.state.or.us Steve.Novick@doj.state.or.us

FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

ROBERT W. FERGUSON ATTORNEY GENERAL

<u>/s/ Kelly T. Wood</u> Kelly T. Wood Assistant Attorney General Counsel for Environmental Protection Unit 800 5th Ave. Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

KARL A. RACINE ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Sarah Kogel-Smucker

Sarah Kogel-Smucker Special Assistant Attorney General Social Justice Section Office of the Attorney General 441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 630 South Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 724-9727 Sarah.kogel-smucker@dc.gov

FOR THE CITY OF CHICAGO

MARK A. FLESSNER CORPORATION COUNSEL OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO Benna Ruth Solomon Deputy Corporation Counsel

/s/Jared Policicchio

Jared Policicchio Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel 30 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 744-7764

FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

MICHAEL N. FEUER LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY

<u>/s/ Michael J. Bostrom</u> Michael J. Bostrom Assistant City Attorney Los Angeles City Attorney's Office 200 N. Spring Street, 14th Fl Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 978-1867 michael.bostrom@lacity.org

FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK

JAMES E. JOHNSON CORPORATION COUNSEL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

<u>/s/ Hilary Meltzer</u> Hilary Meltzer Chief, Environmental Law Division New York City Law Department 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007 (212) 356-2070 hmeltzer@law.nyc.gov

FOR THE CITY OF OAKLAND

BARBARA J. PARKER OAKLAND CITY ATTORNEY Maria Bee Chief Assistant City Attorney

<u>/s/ Erin Bernstein</u> Erin Bernstein Supervising Deputy City Attorney One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Sixth Floor Oakland, CA 94612

(510) 238-6392 ebernstein@oaklandcityattorney.org

FOR THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA MARCEL S. PRATT CITY SOLICITOR

<u>/s/ Patrick K. O'Neill</u>

Patrick K. O'Neill, Esq. Divisional Deputy City Solicitor The City of Philadelphia Law Department One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595 Tel: (215) 683-5172 Patrick.ONeill@phila.gov

FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DENNIS J. HERRERA CITY ATTORNEY

<u>/s/ Robb Kapla</u> Robb Kapla Deputy City Attorney Office of the City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102 (415) 554-4647 robb.kapla@sfcityatty.org

cc: Paul Ray, Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs Honorable Eddie Bernice Johnson, Chair, House Committee on Science, Space, and Technology Honorable Frank Lucas, Ranking Member, House Committee on Science, Space, and Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr., Chair, House Committee on Energy & Commerce Honorable Greg Walden, Ranking Member, House Committee on Energy & Commerce Honorable John Barrasso, Chair, Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works Honorable Thomas R. Carper, Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works