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Breaking the Devil’s Pact: The Battle to Free the Teamsters from the Mob

JAMES B. JACOBS AND KERRY T. COOPERMAN
New York University Press, 2011

After Mobsters, Unions & Feds: the Mafia and the American Labor Movement (2006), I thought I would have nothing more to say about labor racketeering. But as U.S. v. International Brotherhood of Teamsters, the government’s two-decades long effort to purge the Teamsters Unions of organized crime’s presence and influence went on and on, I realized the need for a case study of the most important anti-labor-racketeering initiative in U.S. history. First, at the time the lawsuit was filed, the IBT had the largest membership of any U.S. private-sector union. Second, the IBT was indisputably the nation’s most powerful union. Third, the IBT was the most written-about union. More than 20 books and scores of articles by journalists, historians, labor studies scholars, and Teamsters offer a rich, if uneven, history of a single labor union, thereby providing a window on 20th- and 21st century American labor history and American history generally. Obviously, the case warranted a major study....

Because U.S. v. IBT was, first and foremost, meant to sever ties between Cosa Nostra and its most important economic and political power base, the IBT, this study also contributes to 20th- and 21st century organized-crime studies, especially to the history of the government’s organized crime-control strategies. U.S. v. IBT was groundbreaking for federal law enforcement because it stretched the legs of civil RICO farther than ever before. DOJ sought to purge Cosa Nostra’s presence and influence from an international union (the United States, Canada, and Puerto Rico) with nearly 700 local and regional affiliates. U.S. v. IBT tested DOJ’s ability to use civil RICO to achieve systemic organizational reform, a goal that scores of criminal prosecutions had failed to achieve. Moreover, the stakes were huge. Failure would likely dissuade DOJ attorneys from bringing future civil RICO suits against systemically corrupted organizations and might thereby encourage labor racketeering. Success would likely encourage similar lawsuits against organized crimes’ influence in other unions.
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The Harm in Hate Speech

JEREMY WALDRON
Harvard University Press, 2012

A man out walking with his seven-year-old son and his ten-year-old daughter turns a corner on a city street in New Jersey and is confronted with a sign. It says: “Muslims and 9/11! Don’t serve them, don’t speak to them, and don’t let them in.” The daughter says, “What does that mean, papa?” Her father, who is a Muslim—the whole family is Muslim—doesn’t know what to say. He hurries the children on, hoping that the will not come across any more of the signs. Other days he has seen them on the streets: a large photograph of Muslim children with the slogan “They are all called Osama,” and a poster on the outside wall of his mosque which reads “Jihad Central.”

Many of my colleagues who are not Muslim say that they detest these signs and others like them (the racist slogans, the anti-Semitic signage). But they say that people like us, who detest hate speech, should learn to live with it. Less often, and only under pressure, they will say that the father in our example (who is not a First Amendment scholar) and his children and others like them should also learn to live with these signs. But they say that uneasily. They are more often confident in their own liberal bravado, calling attention to their ability to bear the pain of this vicious invective: “I hate what you say but I will defend to the death your right to say it.”

I disagree. I think there is something socially and legally significant at stake. There is a sort of public good of inclusiveness that our society sponsors and that it is committed to. We are diverse in our ethnicity, our race, our appearance, and our religions. And we are embarked on a grand experiment of living and working together despite these sorts of differences. Each group must accept that the society is not just for them; but it is for them too, along with all of the others. And each person, each member of each group, should be able to go about his or her business, with the assurance that there will be no need to face hostility, violence, discrimination, or exclusion by others. When this assurance is conveyed effectively, it is hardly noticeable; it is something on which everyone can rely, like the cleanliness of the air they breathe or the quality of the water they drink from a fountain. This sense of security in the space we all inhabit is a public good, and in a good society it is something that we all contribute to and help sustain in an instinctive and almost unnoticeable way.
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